PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

То:		PCT
	\\/E	RITTEN OPINION OF THE
see form PCT/ISA/220	INTERNAT	IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220	FOR FURTHE See paragraph 2	
International application No. International filing date PCT/EP2005/050900 01.03.2005	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 02.03.2004
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classificatio C12Q1/48, C07D473/18	n and IPC	
Applicant EPFL ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FEDERALE DE LAU	JSANNE	
Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international ap Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internatio FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a	gard to novelty, inverse is.1(a)(i) with regard as supporting such a supporting such a supplication and application applicatio	will usually be considered to be a). However, this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notifed the rnational Searching Authority
months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 o whichever expires later.	opriate with amend	ments before the expiration of the
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

De Jong, B

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2833



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050900

IAP5 Rec'd PCT/PTO 30 AUG 2006

Ξ	Box No. I Bas	sis of the opinio	n				· · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 		
1.	With regard to the language in	he language, thi which it was filed	s opinion has I, unless othe	been establis rwise indicate	shed on the	e basis of t nis item.	he internat	tional app	olication	n in
. •	language	n has been estab , which is the la es 12.3 and 23.1	nguage of a tr	basis of a tra	nslation fr nished for	om the orig the purpos	jinal langua es of interi	age into t national s	he follo earch	owing
2.	With regard to a necessary to the	iny nucleotide a e claimed inventi	nd/or amino a	acid sequent n has been e	ce disclose stablished	ed in the in I on the bas	ternational sis of:	applicati	on and	i .
•	a. type of materi	ial:	:							•
	☐ a seque	nce listing						. •		
	□ table(s)	related to the sec	quence listing	·	•					•
	b. format of mat	erial:	÷							
	☐ in writter	n format								
	☐ in comp	uter readable for	m				•		•	
	c. time of filing/fu	urnishing:			•				•	
• • •	□ containe	ed in the internati	onal applicatio	on as filed.						
· ·	☐ filed toge	ether with the into	ernational app	olication in co	mputer rea	adable form	1. ·			
	☐ furnished	d subsequently to	o this Authorit	y for the purp	oses of se	earch.	=	•••		
3.	has been fil copies is ide	in the case that is led or furnished, entical to that in t , were furnished.	the required sine application	tatements tha	at the infor	mation in the	he subsear	ient or ac	dditions	iereto al

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050900

	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
T ,0	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non abvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	1 the entire international application,
×	d claims Nos. 1-47 (in part)
b	pecause:
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1-47 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	see separate sheet
. [the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
×	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-43
. : <u>.</u>	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
	the written form
	□ does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form □ has not been furnished
. ·	□ does not comply with the standard
. C	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
<u>-</u>	
	See separate sheet for further details

International application No. PCT/EP2005/050900

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-47

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-47

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-47

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/050900

Re Item III.

Present claims 1-43 relate to an extremely large number of possible compounds. Support within the meaning of Article 84 EPC and/or disclosure within the meaning of Article 83 EPC is to be found, however, for only a very small proportion of the compounds claimed. In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Furthermore the definitions of the group R1-A in various dependent claims is obscure because this group itself contains a R1 group. Therefore the scope of claims 1-43 is unclear. Consequently, the search can only be considered as comprehensive for the compounds insofar they are used for for transfering a label from the compounds to an AGT fusion protein.

Furthermore, claims 1-47 are so unclear that it is not possible to determine the scope of these claims. It is therefore not possible to determine with certainty whether these claims are novel and/or inventive:

In claim 1 group A is defined in terms of an object to be achieved. Due to this definition it is not possible which compounds are covered by the claims.

The application relates to methods for transferring a label to AGT fusion protein. In the application these labels are defined extremely broad and could in principle comprise any group. Furthermore the Markush formula in claim 1 is defined in such a manner that a label does not need to be present at all.

In dependent claims 3,23,30,37 the group R_1 -A is defined using a formula that contains (again) a group R_1 . This construction is not understood.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2005/050900

Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 02/083937 A 24 October 2002

D2: DE 199 03 895 A (JOHNSSON KAI) 3 August 2000

D3: JUILLERAT, ALEXANDRE ET AL: "Directed Evolution of O6-Alkylguanine-DNA Alkyltransferase for Efficient Labeling of Fusion Proteins with Small Molecules In Vivo" CHEMISTRY &; BIOLOGY, 10(4), 313-317, 2003, XP002288298

D4: DAMOISEAUX R ET AL: "Synthesis and applications of chemical probes for human O6-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase" CHEMBIOCHEM - A EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL BIOLOGY, WILEY VCH, WEINHEIM, DE, vol. 2, no. 4, 2 April 2001 (2001-04-02), pages 285-287, XP001148325

D5: WO 97/20843 A 12 June 1997 D6: US 5 691 307 A (1997-11-25)

Documents D1-D6 seem to be novelty destroying for the claimed subject-matter.